Application Number 10/617,627 Response to Office Action mailed July 26, 2007

## AMENDMENTS TO THE CLAIMS

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions and listings of claims in the application.

## Listing of Claims:

Claim 1 (Previously presented): A method comprising steps of:

calculating a transformed error detection code syndrome from data having an error detection code appended to user data, wherein the transformed error detection code syndrome is calculated using a second polynomial;

calculating a recomputed transformed error detection code syndrome, wherein the recomputed transformed error detection code syndrome is calculated using a first polynomial and a correction pattern for the data;

comparing the recomputed transformed error detection code syndrome to the transformed error detection code syndrome; and

if the recomputed transformed error detection code syndrome corresponds to the transformed error detection code syndrome, transferring the data.

Claim 2 (Original): The method of claim 1 further comprising steps of:

if the recomputed transformed error detection code syndrome does not correspond to the transformed error detection code syndrome, receiving the data again.

Claim 3 (Original): The method of claim 1, wherein the transformed error detection code syndrome recomputation step comprises steps of:

computing a correction pattern using a Chien search in conjunction with Forney's algorithm.

Application Number 10/617,627 Response to Office Action mailed July 26, 2007

Claim 4 (Original): The method of claim 1, wherein the transformed error detection code syndrome calculating step comprises steps of:

generating an error detection code multiplier;

generating a non-transformed error detection code syndrome; and

multiplying the error detection code multiplier by the non-transformed error detection code syndrome.

The method of claim 1 wherein the step of calculating a Claim 5 (Previously presented): recomputed transformed error detection code syndrome is performed only if the transformed EDC syndrome is nonzero.

The method of claim 5 wherein if the transformed EDC Claim 6 (Previously presented): syndrome is zero, then the transmitted data is transmitted to the host.

Claim 7 (Previously presented): A method of detecting an error in error correction code (ECC) interleave encoded data comprising steps of:

receiving ECC interleave encoded data, the ECC interleave encoded data comprising user data and an error detection code (EDC);

calculating a transformed error detection code syndrome using the ECC interleave encoded data and a second polynomial;

generating a recomputed transformed error detection syndrome using a first polynomial and a computed correction pattern in for the ECC interleave encoded data; and

comparing the transformed EDC syndrome with the recomputed transformed error detection syndrome.

The method of claim 7 further comprising a step of: Claim 8 (Previously presented): locating errors either in the received data using an error locator; and correcting errors in the received data using an error evaluator.

Application Number 10/617,627 Response to Office Action mailed July 26, 2007

The method of claim 8 wherein the locating step comprises Claim 9 (Previously presented): performing a Chien search using the received data and the evaluating step comprises performing Forney's algorithm.

The method of claim 7, wherein the transforming step Claim 10 (Previously presented): comprises:

generating a non-transformed EDC syndrome;

computing an EDC multiplier; and

multiplying the non-transformed EDC syndrome by the EDC multiplier to generate the transformed EDC syndrome.

Claim 11 (Previously presented): The method of claim 10, wherein the step of generating a non-transformed EDC syndrome generator comprises:

receiving the data;

providing clocked flip-flops;

multiplying an output of the flip-flops with a value associated with the error detection code in the received data: and

logically adding the multiplied output to the received data.

Claim 12 (Previously presented): The method of claim 7 further comprising steps of: generating an error correction code (ECC) syndrome from the received data in an ECC syndrome generator.

Claim 13 (Previously presented): The method of claim 12 wherein the ECC syndrome generator is connected to a comparator through an error correction unit.

The method of claim 13 wherein the error correction unit is Claim 14 (Previously presented): connected to the comparator through an EDC syndrome recomparator.

Claims 15 - 16 (Canceled).

PAGE 05

Application Number 10/617,627 Response to Office Action mailed July 26, 2007

The method of claim 1, wherein the error detection code Claim 17 (Previously presented): includes a first portion with a first symbol size and a second portion with a second symbol size, wherein the second symbol size is different from the first symbol size.

The method of claim 1, wherein the second polynomial has Claim 18 (Previously presented): coefficients of the first polynomial in a reversed order.

Claims 19-22 (Canceled).